Le mielodisplasie oggi: inquadramento dell'argomento



Valeria Santini MDS UNIT Ematologia, Università di Firenze



Myelodysplastic syndromes are a constellation of diseases with difficult diagnosis





An accurate diagnosis is the basis for successful prognostic stratification (and treatment) of MDS

Criteria: presence and number of dysplastic lineages, percentage of bone marrow blasts, cytogenetic abnormalities

MDS MOLECULAR PATHOGENESIS



How to evaluate MDS clinically? how to determine prognosis?



Schanz J et alJ Clin Oncol. 2012 Mar 10;30(8):820-9.

International prognostic scoring system (IPSS-R) variables and weighted scores

Cytogenetics Score	Very good 0	Good 1	Intermediat e 2	Poor 3	Very poor 4
BM blasts, % <mark>Score</mark>	≤ 2 0	> 2_< 5 1	5–10 2	> 10 3	
Hb, g/dL <mark>Score</mark>	≥ 10 0	8–9.9 1	< 8 1.5		
ANC, x 10 ⁹ /L <mark>Score</mark>	≥ 0.8 <mark>0</mark>	< 0.8 0.5			
Platelets, x 10 ⁹ / L Score	≥ 100 <mark>0</mark>	50–99 <mark>0.5</mark>	< 50 1		

* Regression analysis for survival and AML evolution. ANC, absolute neutrophil count; BM bone marrow.

IPSS-R: prognostic scores and risk groups

* Values for 70-year-old patient (for consideration of age: [age in years - 70] x 0.04, add result to sum of other variables). Age, PS, ferritin, and LDH were significant additive features for OS but not for AML transformation.



Greenberg PL, et al. Blood. 2012;120:2454-65 and updated data.

Critical point for sequential therapy: Is the IPSS-R valid for treated patients?



Mishra A, et al. Blood. 2012;120:abstract 2816.

IPSS-R, **sEPO** levels, ferritin but no specific mutation

predict response to ESAs

Factor	Value	Scor e	Value	Score	serum Ferritin associated with EPO response	
Transfusion requirement*	<2 U/ month	0	≥2 U/ month	1		
Serum EPO*	<500 U/ L	0	≥500 U/ L	1	Score = 0: 74% Score = 1: 23% Score = 2: 7%	

100 p < 0.0001 85 LR 80 72 68 61 Patients (%) 60 52 48 40 31 20 0 Overall IPSS Low IPSS Int-1 IPSS-R IPSS-R IPSS-R Int IPSS-R Very Low High Low

Hellström-Lindberg E et al. Br J Haematol. 1997;99(2):344-51.

Number of mutations predicts OS after ESAs (79 pts-)



Clinical and IPSS-R heterogeneity: OS in IPSS-R very high-risk group according to French AZA scoring system

IPSS-R very high-risk MDS



French AZA scoring system

Risk factor	Score
ECOG performance status ≥ 2	1
Intermediate-risk cytogenetics	1
Poor-risk cytogenetics	2
Transfusion dependence ≥ 4 U/8 wks	1
Peripheral blood blasts present	1

Ades L, et al. Blood. 2012;120:abstract 422 and data presented at ASH 2012.

Is IPSS-R enough?

Molecular variables: mutations and DNA methylation

Molecular variables: somatic mutations

- IPSS-R does not consider somatic mutations
- Somatic mutations are present in nearly 90% of MDS
- Several mutated genes have prognostic significance independent of the IPSS-R
- How to weigh prognostic mutations in clinical practice remains unclear

Recurrent somatic mutations are found in > 80% of MDS cases



Somatic mutations in MDS:

prognostic value

possible therapeutic target

confirm diagnosis (?)

Somatic Mutations in MDS



Papaemmanuil et al. Blood. 2013.

Haferlach et al. Leukemia. 2014.

Somatic gene mutations in MDS are independent prognostic indicators



>1mut: TP53, CBL, EZH2, RUNX1, U2AF1, ASXL1



Leukaemia-free survival in MDS inversely correlates with number of driver mutations





• Bejar R, et al. N Engl J Med. 2011;364:2496-506.

IPSS-R Adjusted Hazard Ratios for Mutated Genes



Division by Blast Proportion (5-30%)



Division by Blast Proportion (<5%)



IPSS-R integrated model with molecular variables (83 pts)

Variable	Hazard Katio	95% CI	p value	Score
IPSS-R				
Intermediate	1.45	0.56-3.77	0.45	0.5
High/Very high	4.66	2.01-10.84	< 0.001	1.5
TP53	3.12	1.3-7.49	0.011	1
Mutations 3 or more	2.51	1.32-4.76	0.005	1



Montalban-Bravo G et Al,, Oncotarget 2018, Vol. 9, (No. 11), pp: 9714-9727

Mutation patterns observed in MDS treated with allo-HSCT





Relationship between type of oncogenic mutations and overall survival of MDS receiving allo-HSCT



Matteo G. Della Porta et al. JCO doi:10.1200/JCO.2016.67.3616

Prognostic Mutations in Myelodysplastic Syndrome patients treated with HSCT.

TP53 mutations are the strongest predictor



Lindsley, RC et al. N Engl J Med 2017;376:536-47.

Prognostic Mutations in Myelodysplastic Syndrome patients treated with HSCT



Yoshizato et al et al. Blood. 2017 Apr 27;129(17):2347-2358

Somatic mutations in MDS: possible therapeutic target

Mutant IDH1/2 (5-10% in MDS) inhibitors reduce production of 2-HG and promote cellular differentiation



Preclinical and clinical studies of oral H3B-8800 for MDS carrying mutations in spliceosome genes

B_{n-1}

An

RP2D



SF3B1, SRSF2, U2AF1, ZRSR2

CMML SF3B1, SRSF2, U2AF1, ZRSR2

SF3B1 mutation is a possible predictor of response to TGFbeta-pathway inhibition in LR-MDS pts



Platzbecker et al, Lancet Oncol 2017



Inherited predisposition to myelodysplastic syndromes and other haematological malignancies

WHO 2016 classification of myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition

Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition without a preexisting disorder or organ dysfunction AML with germ line CEBPA mutation Myeloid neoplasms with germ line DDX41 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition and preexisting platelet disorders Myeloid neoplasms with germ line RUNX1 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line ANKRD26 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line ETV6 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition and other organ dysfunction Myeloid neoplasms with germ line GATA2 mutation Myeloid neoplasms associated with BM failure syndromes Myeloid neoplasms associated with telomere biology disorders JMML associated with neurofibromatosis, Noonan syndrome or Noonan syndrome-like disorders Myeloid neoplasms associated with Down syndrome*

*Lymphoid neoplasms also reported.

Arber et al Blood 2016 : 127:2391

Inherited predisposition to myelodysplastic syndromes and other haematological malignancies

WHO 2016 classification of myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition

Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition without a preexisting disorder or organ dysfunction AML with germ line CEBPA mutation Myeloid neoplasms with germ line DDX41 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition and preexisting platelet disorders Myeloid neoplasms with germ line RUNX1 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line ANKRD26 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line ETV6 mutation* Myeloid neoplasms with germ line predisposition and other organ dysfunction Myeloid neoplasms with germ line GATA2 mutation Myeloid neoplasms associated with BM failure syndromes Myeloid neoplasms associated with telomere biology disorders JMML associated with neurofibromatosis, Noonan syndrome or Noonan syndrome-like disorders Myeloid neoplasms associated with Down syndrome*

*Lymphoid neoplasms also reported.

Arber et al Blood 2016 : 127:2391

- Patient with de novo MDS at a younger age (< 50yrs)
- Patient with MDS and familial history of AML
- Patient with MDS and peculiar extra hematological symptoms:
- 1. Perform an accurate family and personal history
- 2. Search for signs and symptoms of congenital syndromes
- 3. Perform mutational analysis for genes involved in inherited predisposition
- 4. Select accurately HSCT donor (completely avoid related matched donor?) Slow engraftment, donor derived leukemia
- 5. Familial genetic counseling (anticipation of onset through generations)
del(5q) MDS is not always 5q- syndrome



CRR vs CDRs in del(5q) MDS: impact on prognosis



- In patients who had intact CRRs vs those who had lesions that occurred in the CRRs
 - OS was longer (32 vs 14 months; p = 0.04)
 - baseline platelet count was higher (171 vs 84 x 105/ μ L; p \leq 0.001)
- Less AML progression occurred in patients with smaller lesions than in those with lesions involving the distal ends of 5q (p = 0.002)

AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; CRR, commonly retained region.

TP53 mutations in MDS and their impact on patient outcomes

Retrospective analysis of the incidence and prognostic impact of *TP53* mutations in patients with del(5q) by using next-generation sequencing

Patient characteristics (n = 318)

- Median age, years (range): 65 (17–72)
- IPSS risk, n (%)
 - Low: 71 (24)
 - Int-1: 101 (32)
 - Int-2: 58 (18)
 - High: 29 (9)
- 40 patients (12%) received BM transplantation, intensive chemotherapy, azacitidine, or lenalidomide

Multivariate analysis:^b *TP53* mutational status was the strongest predictor for OS and PFS (p < 0.0001 for both)

TP53 mutations are an independent prognostic marker in patients with del(5q) MDS

^a Survival analysis was censored at treatment date.

^b Co-variables: age; sex; WHO subtype; IPSS risk; ± mutations.

IPSS, International Prognostic Scoring System; PFS, progression-free survival.



Most frequently mutated genes in MDS with isolated del5q



Meggendorfer et al . Hameatologica 2017 ; 102(9):1502-1510

Most frequently mutated genes in MDS with isolated del5q



Meggendorfer et al . Hameatologica 2017 ; 102(9):1502-1510

Most frequently mutated genes in MDS with isolated del5q and comparison with nondel5q MDS



a 2017 ; 102(9):1502-1510

SF3B1 mutations are not a good prognostic factor In MDS with isolated del5q



Meggendorfer et al . Hameatologica 2017 ; 102(9):1502-1510

SF3B1 mutations are not a good prognostic factor In MDS with isolated del5q



Meggendorfer et al . Hameatologica 2017 ; 102(9):1502-1510

Somatic mutation evaluation in MDS

- 1. Help refining diagnosis according to WHO for MDS with RS
- 2. Indicate possibility of experimental target therapy
- 3. Prompt to earlier intervention in presence of multiple or prognostically negative mutations
- 4. Prognostic value in MDS with del5q (TP53 mut)
- 5. Prognostic value in HSCT
- 6. No indication to select or exclude from HMA therapy or from HSCT on the basus of mutations

Somatic mutations in suspect of MDS: a help in diagnosis?

Dysplasia can be induced by other causes than MDS

Cytopenias without dysplasia may be tricking

and definite diagnosis is often a challenge

ICUS idiopathic cytopenia of unknown significance

IDUS

idiopathic dysplasia of unknown significance

CHIP/ARCH

clonal hemopoiesis of indeterminate potential/ age related clonal hemopoiesis

CCUS clonal cytopenia of unknown significance

Clonal hemopoiesis in the era of deep sequencing

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Clonal Hematopoiesis and Blood-Cancer Risk Inferred from Blood DNA Sequence

Giulio Genovese, Ph.D., Anna K. Kähler, Ph.D., Robert E. Handsaker, B.S.,



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Age-Related Clonal Hematopoiesis Associated with Adverse Outcomes

Siddhartha Jaiswal, M.D., Ph.D., Pierre Fontanillas, Ph.D., Jason Flannick, Ph.D.,





Clonal hemopoieis of indeterminate potential (CHIP)

- Clonality defined by presence of MDS-associated genes:

DNMT3A, ASXL1, TET2, (JAK2) with loss of function

- Little propensity to develop MDS (0,5-1% /year)

- Present in 15% of persons aged > 70yrs

Triggered by (?) :

Stochastic event Environment (smoke, radiation, chemotherapy, inflammation) Hereditary/predisposition conditions

Is CHIP so innocent ??





Steensma DP, Blood 2015; 126:9

CHIP correlates with coronary heart disease



Jaiswal S et al NEJM June 21, 2017

Mutations in patients with CCUS predict evolution compared to ICUS





Malcovati L et al; Blood 2017 ;129: 3371

Exposure to Radiation Therapy or Tobacco Use Increases Risk of Clonal hemopoiesis More Than Chemotherapy Exposure

	Overall	No	Yes	P-value
Sample size (% of total cohort)	5649	4296	1353	
Prior Chemo *, N%	64%	64%	64%	0.89
Prior radiation*, N%	37%	35%	41%	<0,001
Current/former smoker, N%	46%	44%	53%	<0,001

Clonal Hematopoiesis

CHIP in 25% of solid tumor patients, with 4.5% harboring presumptive leukemia driver mutations (CH-PD). Most frequent DNMT3A.

PPM1D and TP53 mutations were associated with prior exposure to chemotherapy.

inflammation could be a trigger for clonal hemopoiesis



Age-dependent clonal hemopoiesis is More Common Than Reported in Hotspot Focused Studies

Senectus ipsa morbus est



Coombs et al., 2017, Cell Stem Cell 21, 1–9 Genovese G. et al NEJM. 2014;371(26):2477-87 Jaiswal S et al NEJM. 2014 ;371(26):2488-98 Xie et al; Nat Med. 2014 ;20(12):1472-8.



	ICUS	ARCH	СНІР	CCUS	Lower Risk MDS	Higher Risk MDS
Clonality	-	+	+	+	+	+
Dysplasia	-	-	-	-	+	+
Cytopenia	+	-	-	+	+	+
BM Blast %	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<19%
AML Overall Risk	Very low	Very low	Very low but cardiopathy	Low / intermediate	Low	High
Median Num of mutations	0	1	1	>1<2	>2	>2
Typical VAF	-	1-10%	9-12% (>10)	30-40% (40)	>50%	>50%
Types of mutations		DNMT3A, TET2,ASXL1, JAK2, TP53	DNMT3A, TET2,ASXL1, JAK2, TP53	TET2, DNMT3A,ASXL1, SRSF2, TP53 <mark>Later</mark> TET2, SRSF2, ASXL1, U2AF1, DNMT3A	SF3B1, TE SRSF2, DN and all the l	T2, ASXL1, I MT3A ess frequent

Modified from Steensma et al, Blood 2015 and

Bejar R Leukemia, 2017 online

Molecular variables: DNA methylation

Azanucleosides, Cytosine Analogues with hypomethylating properties



Santini et al, Ann Int Med 2001

Overall survival: AZA vs CCR



CI, confidence interval; ITT, intention-to-treat.

Fenaux P, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10:223-32.

AZA vs CCR: OS in Pts with Best Response of HI



Gore S, et al, Haematologica. 2013 Jul;98(7):1067-72.



- OS similar in patients aged < 80 and \geq 80 years (P = .6)
- Median OS 12.1 months; 1- and 2-year OS: 50% and 23.2%

Itzykson, R., et al. Blood. 2009;114(22):705.

Methylation pattern and response to therapy



PI-PLCbeta1 promoter methylation and gene expression correlate with response to azacitidine Follo et al PNAS 2009 29;106(39):16811-6

Treatment

Azacitidine (months)

Treatment

Azacitidine (months)



Global methylation and response to Decitabine

Shen, J Clin Oncol. 2010 1;28(4):605-13

Distinct DNA methylation profiles at diagnosis of CMML is associated with response to decitabine



Meldi, et al. JCI 2015

Differentially methylated regions are enriched at distal intergenic regions and enhancers



Meldi, et al. JCI 2015

CXCL4 and CXCL7 are up-regulated in the bone marrow of non-responders



Meldi, et al. JCI 2015

CXCL4 and CXCL7 are up-regulated in the bone marrow of non-responders



Meldi, et al. JCI 2015

63X

Uptake and metabolism of drugs may be impaired genetically and alter outcome

RNA/DNA uptake of hypomethylating agents



UCK1 hyperexpression modulates response to Azacitidine in HR-MDS

Ana Valencia et al, Leukemia 2013


Myelodysplastic Syndromes, Version 2.2017, NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology.



Greenberg PL et al. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2017 Jan;15(1):60-87

Therapeutic algorithm for adult patients with primary MDS and intermediate-2 or high IPSS score.





Therapeutical options for higher risk MDS



Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2012;2012:65-73.

Patients belonging to the IPSS int 2-high groups and not eligible to allogeneic HSCT, or eligible to allogeneic HSCT but lacking an immediately available donor, are recommended to receive hypomethylating therapy.

(grade A)

Santini et al, Leuk Res 2010



Progression-free survival after decitabine is strikingly prolonged in the presence of 2 or more monosomies



*95% Cl for totals and subtotals, 99% Cl elsewhere

Lübbert, Suciu et al., 2016



TP53 and Decitabine in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes

J.S. Welch, A.A. Petti, C.A. Miller, C.C. Fronick, M. O'Laughlin, R.S. Fulton, R.K. Wilson, J.D. Baty, E.J. Duncavage, B. Tandon, Y.-S. Lee, L.D. Wartman, G.L. Uy, A. Ghobadi, M.H. Tomasson, I. Pusic, R. Romee, T.A. Fehniger, K.E. Stockerl-Goldstein, R. Vij, S.T. Oh, C.N. Abboud, A.F. Cashen, M.A. Schroeder, M.A. Jacoby, S.E. Heath, K. Luber, M.R. Janke, A. Hantel, N. Khan, M.J. Sukhanova, R.W. Knoebel, W. Stock, T.A. Graubert, M.J. Walter, P. Westervelt, D.C. Link, J.F. DiPersio, and T.J. Ley

Welch JS et al. N Engl J Med 2016;

100% patients *TP53* mutations respond to Decitabine

Characteristic	All Patients (N=116)	TP53 Mutations (N =21)	Wild-Type TP53 (N =78)	TP53 Not Evaluated (N=17)	P Value†
Response — no. (%)					
Bone marrow blast clearance <5% blasts	53 (46)	21 (100)	32 (41)	0	<0.001
Complete remission					
With recovery of peripheral-blood counts	15 (13)	4 (19)	11 (14)	0	0.73
With incomplete count recovery	24 (21)	9 (43)	15 (19)	0	0.04
Morphologic complete remission					
With hematologic improvement	6 (5)	5 (24)	1 (1)	0	0.002
Without hematologic improvement	8 (7)	3 (14)	5 (6)	0	0.36
No bone marrow blast clearance	63 (54)	0	46 (59)	5 (29)	< 0.001
Partial response	9 (8)	0	9 (12)	0	0.05
Stable disease	23 (20)	0	18 (23)	5 (29)	0.006
Progressive disease	19 (16)	0	19 (24)	0	0.003
Samples not available for evaluation	12 (10)	0	0	12 (71)	



No differences between unfavourable and favourable risk karyotype No differences between per status TP53 mutant and wild type

Response to DAC is associated with reversal of hypermethylation

Before DAC – After DAC

CHROMOSOMES



- Loss of mC ≥ 25% after DAC
- Gain of mC ≥25% after DAC

Merlevede et al Nat Commun. 2016 Feb 24;7:10767.

Mutation allele burden remains unchanged after DAC



Merlevede et al Nat Commun. 2016 Feb 24;7:10767.

Survival after decitabine failure in MDS/AML patients



Jabbour et al, Cancer 116:3830(2008)

Survival after azacitidine failure in MDS/AML patients



Prebet et al, JCO 29:3322 (2011)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI FIRENZE

DIPARTIMENTO DI MEDICINA SPERIMENTALE **E CLINICA**

Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Careggi



aou

DATIONE ΠΔΙΙΔΝΑ MIELODISPLASTICHE **Bernardino Allione** Monia Lunghi Antonella Poloni Emanuele Angelucci Carlo Finelli Alessandro Levis Omar Abdel-Wahab



Maria E.Figueroa Tingting Qin Kristen Meldi





Francophone des **Myélodysplasies**

Nathalie Droin

Dorothée Selimoglu-Buet

Ana Valencia Erico Masala Alice Brogi Alessandro Sanna Valeria Santini